

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USP10.60V

Paper No. 6

Leo J. Aubel 111 Rivershire Lane Lincolnshire IL 60069

JUN 0 7 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Keith S. Manson Application No. 09/883,693 Filed: June 18, 2001

Title: COMPUTER SYSTEM WITH NATURAL LANGUAGE TO MACHINE

LANGUAGE TRANSLATOR

DECISION ON RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.137(F)

ONDER 37 C.F.R. §1.137(F)

This is a decision on the petition filed May 18, 2004, pursuant to 37 C.F.R.  $\S1.137(f)^1$ , to revive the above-identified application.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(f) must be accompanied by:

- (1) Notification of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty that requires 18 month publication<sup>2</sup>;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m), and;
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the notice from the date that the notice was due under 35 U.S.C. §122(b)(2)(B)(iii) until the date the notice was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The original petition was submitted on February 9, 2004, and dismissed via the mailing of a decision on May 10, 2004 for failure to submit both the petition fee and a Notice of Rescission of Nonpublication Request.

<sup>1</sup> The decision on the original erroneously identified the original petition as being filed under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b).

<sup>2 &</sup>lt;u>See PTO/SB/36</u> and paragraph on PTO/SB/64a for further information. Both may be downloaded at http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/index.html.



In his original petition, Petitioner set forth that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in either a foreign or an international application on June 12, 2002. However, the United States Patent and Trademark Office was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in either an international application or a foreign country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §1.22(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 C.F.R. §1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of either a foreign application or an application under a multilateral international agreement that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

The petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f) is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner has submitted the notification of either a foreign or an international filing, paid the petition fee, and has made the proper statement of unintentional delay.

The instant petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the Office of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. §122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 C.F.R. §1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. §122(b)(2)(B)(i), filed with the original application papers, has been rescinded. A Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request which sets forth the projected publication date of September 16, 2004 accompanies this decision on petition.

After this decision is mailed, the application will be forwarded to Technology Center 2600.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-0011.

Paul Shanoski Senior Attorney Office of Petitions

United States Patent and Trademark Office